UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,883	07/31/2003	Daniel Kahne	PUAM-0257	1801
	7590 08/06/200 WASHBURN LLP		EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR		LUNDGREN, JEFFREY S	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/631,883	KAHNE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JEFFREY S. LUNDGREN	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	oril 2008						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,26,27,102,103,105-107 and 116</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5, 6, 26, 27, 102, 103, 105-107 and 116</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
· · · · ·							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	-						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Coo the attached detailed office action for a list of the continue copies het received.							
Attacker and a							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(P10-413) ite						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:							

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 5, 6, 26, 27, 102, 103, 105-107 and 116, are pending in the instant Application, and are the subject of the Office Action below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 26 and 27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite for reciting the phrase "at least one of said substituent of the formula YXR" in the fourteenth line of the claim because there is no antecedent basis due to Applicants amendment. Applicants can overcome this rejection by deleting the phrase "at least one of said substituent of the formula".

Claims 26 and 27 are indefinite for reciting the phrase "the N-substituted aminohexose residue bears at least one substituent" because it is not clear how this phrase further limits claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The rejection of claims 102, 103, 105-107 and 116, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, is maintained. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The rejection of claims 102, 103, 105-107 and 116 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is maintained, because the specification, while being enabling for the core as described in claim 1, does not reasonably provide enablement for the full breadth set forth in claim 102.

Applicants describe their invention on page 4 of the Reply, and indicate that each of the claims has support through the disclosure. Applicants provide a structure of a compound and appear to assert that the claims of 1 and 102 are encompassed within the structure of this core.

While the Examiner agrees that the Applicants have sufficient support that describes and enables the invention of claim 1, claim 102 is not fully described or enabled. Specifically, the claim reads on compounds where the disaccharide is attached to a residue other than A4 (*i.e.*, outside of the scope of the compound Applicants have provided). Claim 1 clearly defines that the disaccharide is bound through A4. Therefore, for the reasons explained in detail in the rejection in Office Action mailed on December 31, 2007, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 - Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1, 5, 6, 26, 27, 102, 103, 105-107 and 116, under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ge *et al.*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120:11014-11015 (**1998**), is withdrawn for the reasons provided by Applicants.

Conclusions

Claim 1 is free of the prior art.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/631,883 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

If Applicants should amendment the claims, a complete and responsive reply will clearly identify where support can be found in the disclosure for each amendment. Applicants should point to the page and line numbers of the application corresponding to each amendment, and provide any statements that might help to identify support for the claimed invention (e.g., if the amendment is not supported in *ipsis verbis*, clarification on the record may be helpful). Should Applicants present new claims, Applicants should clearly identify where support can be found in the disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeff Lundgren whose telephone number is 571-272-5541. The Examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz, can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSL

/JD Schultz, PhD/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1635